

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/048,050	03/25/2002	Rudi Frenzel	· 1406/41 2761		
25297	7590 07/03/2006		EXAMINER		
JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A.			KIM, KEVIN		
3100 TOWER SUITE 1200	BLVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DURHAM, NC 27707			2611		
		DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		AV
Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
10/048,050	FRENZEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kevin Y. Kim	2611	

	Kevin Y. Kim	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection in the graph of the graph o	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	Sociation				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	·					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bei appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1							
5. 🔯 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	red.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t done NOT along the configuration is						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
/ Levi / Lui PATENT EXAMINER							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11: The amendment attempts to reinstate the original claims, the ground of rejection of which has been spelled out in the1st Office action. To reiterate the rejection, the Mestdagh reference teaches an ADSL modem to carry a non-ADSL signal, i.e., MTS in a particular set of DMT subcarriers. The Proctor reference discusses transmitting ADSL and ISDN where some of the DMT subcarriers are dedicted to carrying ISDN signals. Thus, one skilled in the art would have realized that the Mestdagh apparatus would be able to modified to carry the ISDN signals just as it is designed to carry MTS signal in some of the DMT subcarriers.